THE NORTHAMPTON WATER WORKS COMPANY.

NOTICE is hereby given, That the Twenty-first HALF-YEARLY GENERAL MEETING of this Company will be held at their Offices, 87, NEWLAND, NORTHAMPTON, on THURSDAY, the 22ND day of FEBRUARY instant, at Seven o'Clock in the Evening, to pass the Accounts, to declare a Dividend, and for other Business. And Notice is hereby further given, That the Register of Transfers will be closed from the 8th day of February inst. until the day of the said Meeting.

HENRY ARMITT, Secretary.

3rd February, 1872.

NORTHAMPTONSHIRE UNION BANK.

A DIVIDEND of EIGHT SHILLINGS per Share has having been declared on the Shares in the above Bank, for the Half-year ending the 31st December last, the same will be PAID at the Head Office of the Company, on and after Wednesday, the 7th day of February inst.

BOEMEN and PAGE, Managers.

Northampton, 1st February, 1872.

PETERBOROUGH DIOCESAN CHURCH BUILDING ASSOCIATION.

A MEETING of the Committee of this Association will be held at the ROOM of the ARCHITECTURAL SOCIETY, GOLD STREET, NORTHAMPTON, at Half-past Eleven o'clock, a.m., on MONDAY, the 5TH FEBRUARY.

F. C. ALDERSON, Hon. Sec.

BEAUCHAMP HOUSE SCHOOL,

TOWCESTER, Northamptonshire.

CONDUCTED by EDWARD DURHAM, M.R.C.P., F.R.G.S., with the Assistance of Resident English and Foreign Masters of ability and experience. French, Accounts, and Composition receive especial attention.

Regular preparation for University, Local, and other Examinations.

LADIES' SCHOOL,

ELLESMERE HOUSE, NORTHAMPTON.

MISS LEIGH receives a LIMITED NUMBER of YOUNG LADIES for BOARD and EDUCATION, and offers the advantages of a finished Education, with careful religious and moral training.

The course of instruction includes the various branches of an English Education, with the usual accomplishments.

A resident French Lady instructs in the French Language and Literature.

The House is spacious, and the domestic arrangements are on a liberal scale.

The ENSUING QUARTER COMMENCES on MONDAY, 29TH JANUARY, 1872.

Terms on application.

NORTHAMPTON GRAMMAR SCHOOL.

HEAD MASTER—The Rev. E. J. W. SANDERS, M.A. (Late Vice-Master of the Bedford County School).

THE SCHOOL will RE-OPEN on TUESDAY, 13TH FEBRUARY. The Quarterly Fee for Boys from North-ampton, or from a distance not exceeding 15 miles from the town, is £1 per Quarter. New Boys, who may have obtained Nominations, must ATTEND at the SCHOOL, on Monday, 12TH FEBRUARY, at 10 a.m., for Examination.

Nominations may be obtained from the Trustees, or Forms and information from J. B. Hensman, Esq., 6, St. Giles's Street, Northampton, the Secretary to the Trustees.

Grammar School, 1st Feb., 1872.

BLACKWOOD'S MAGAZINE, for FEBRUARY, 1872. No. DCLXXVI. Price 2s. 6d.

Contents.

FRENCH HOME LIFE.—No. IV. POOR.
A CENTURY OF GREAT POETS: NO. V.—ROBERT BURNS.
SERPENT-CHARMING IN CAIRO.
THE MAID OF SESTOS.—PART VII.
QUINET'S CREATION.
AN OCCASIONAL EXPERIMENT IN YORKSHIRE.
THE BRENDON FEARS OF THE COUNTRY.

W. BLACKWOOD & SONS, Edinburgh and London.

DICEY ON PARTIES TO ACTION.

A TREATISE on the Rules for the Selection of the Parties to Actions, by A. V. Dicey, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law, and Fellow of Trinity College, Oxford.

MAXWELL & SON, 29, Fleet Street, London.

MISS DE WILDE,

(Daughter of the late Mr. G. J. De Wilde).

WILL be PREPARED after JANUARY 27TH, to GIVE LESSONS in MUSIC and DRAWING.

For Terms, apply at her present residence, Mercury Office, Parade.

LETTER-PRESS, LITHOGRAPHIC, and COPPER-PLATE PRINTING of all kinds, executed with neatness, punctuality, and economy, at the

MERCURY STEAM PRINTING OFFICE,

NORTHAMPTON.

Handsomely bound in cloth gilt, beveled boards.

NOW READY.

Price 5s., crown 8vo.,

RAMBLES ROUND DABOUT AND POEMS,

BY THE LATE

GEORGE JAMES DE WILDE,

Editor of the Northampton Mercury.

EDITED BY

EDWARD DICEY.

NORTHAMPTON: Mercury Office, Parade; Abel & Sons, Parade; Dorman, Drapery; Harris, Bridge-street; Notcutt, Drapery; and Taylor & Son, Gold-street.

The Rambles include—

BLISWORTH.	GREAT BRINGTON.
KINGSTHORPE.	NEWPORT PAGNELL.
DODFORD.	LAMPORT.
ABOUT JOHN CLARE (HELPSTON AND NORTHBOROUGH).	WESTON FAVELL.
HUNSDON.	WERDON BECK.
GAYTON.	BARNWELL.
ABINGTON.	THE INSTITUTION A HUNDRED YEARS AGO.
HOTHORPE.	BRIDGE STREET.
COTTESBOCK.	THE THREE TOWNS.
TOWCESTER.	THE MAYORHOLD AND HORSEMANSKY.
GREEN'S NORTON.	ST. THOMAS'S HOSPITAL.
WELLINGBOROUGH.	

Subscribers' Names will be received at the Mercury Office.

The Northampton Mercury

SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1872.

On payment of postage stamps to the amount of Two Shillings and Sixpence, at the Office, Parade, Northampton, or through the post, the MERCURY will be sent per Quarter to any address in the country.

Very little is yet known as to the Ministerial programme for the session, which commences next week. The Ballot Bill is, it is understood, to be the first item in the bill of fare. Then, at a later period, we are to have measures for the regulation of mines, for the improvement of the licensing system, and for the amelioration of our sanitary laws. Besides this, if all goes well, a large part of the session must obviously be devoted to the amendment of the Education Act, and the extension of army reform. But all these measures will hardly suffice to fill up the time of Parliament, and under ordinary circumstances we might look forward to a long and uninteresting session. There is, however, but little prospect of this anticipation proving correct. The tactics of the Ministry are obviously designed for waging a defensive not an offensive campaign; and the question of the day is not so much what the Government intend to do, but how they propose to meet the onslaught which will be made upon their position. There are three "burning questions," to use a French phrase, with which Mr. Gladstone will be called upon to deal almost at once on the session opens. The first and greatest of these issues is the Alabama difficulty. The facts of the case, as at present known, present a most unsatisfactory outlook. Our Government last summer was led to propose that the United States were ready to enter into a compromise with reference to the claims which the Americans considered they were entitled—morally if not legally—to enforce against British respect to our alleged infractions of neutrality during the Secession war. Whether with or without reason, they imagined that the Union would forgo the grievances they complained of if our hands, in reference to the Alabama and consorts, and to submit the amount of compensation to be awarded in respect of this liability, if established, to the award of a Court of Arbitration.

Upon this hypothesis a treaty was arranged, which has been ratified by the American Senate, and by our own Executive. We then learn that the United States, in the statement which their law officers have submitted to the Arbitrators, claim indirect as well as direct damages for the depredations of the Confederate cruisers, and seek to make us pecuniarily responsible for the cost incurred in the prosecution of the war from 1862 to 1864, on the plea that the prolonged resistance of the South was due, indirectly if not directly, to the laches of the British Government. Without entering on the merits of this allegation, we must admit that there is nothing apparently in the wording of the Treaty of Washington to preclude such an interpretation being put upon it, as a matter of argument. Now, under these circumstances, we cannot have responsibility rests upon the Government. England never would have consented to expose herself to the risk of being saddled with charges which might cripple our industry for generations, if we had understood that any such liability, however remote, was involved in the acceptance of the Treaty of Washington. Mr. Gladstone therefore will be called upon to show that our Commissioners accepted the conditions of the treaty under a distinct and explicit understanding on both sides that the liability of England only extended to the direct losses sustained through the Alabama and her consorts, supposing those vessels could be shown to have carried on their depredations through our agency, culpable neglect or complicity. If this cannot be shown, we do not see how Parliament can refrain from entering a solemn and formal protest against the effectuation of the arrangements contemplated by the treaty; and such a protest, whatever might be the ulterior consequences, would entail a Ministerial crisis.

If, however, the explanations of the Government should remove the grave apprehensions which we make from the Alabama difficulty, there still remains the second of the critical issues we have alluded to, may be surmounted with no great difficulty. The worst that can be said about the appointment of Sir Robert Collier to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council is that the appointment is indiscreet, ill advised, and irregular; and a powerful and popular government is seldom upset on account of an indiscreet appointment. The most formidable, though it is least immediately pressing of the three Ministerial dangers is, to our minds, the question of the Education Act. Whether Mr. Forster was right or wrong in the measure he passed through the House, it is clear he has brought the Government into most difficult dilemma. If they stand by the Act they alienate the sympathies of the Nonconformist body, and imperil the seats of their Liberal supporters; if they rescind the obnoxious clauses, they forfeit the prestige acquired by the Act, and give offence to the Church party in the counties. The outlook is not a bright one. Still the Ministry has this in its favour, that the popularity of Mr. Gladstone with the country at large is very great; that a Conservative Government with Mr. Disraeli as its chief, is dreaded by the public; and that the nation wishes the Liberal party to remain in power—a wish that is hardly compatible with the condition of the present Administration. There are clearly grave issues ahead, but we should not be surprised if the Government was, after all, to weather the coming storm.

The shoemakers of Northampton are only following the example of the artizan class throughout the country in demanding an increase of wages. Their object is not only reasonable but praiseworthy, and we shall deem it matter for satisfaction if they succeed in obtaining their full force. Nothing could be more temperate or fair than the language of the speakers at the Town Hall meeting on Monday. The Nine Hours' movement has succeeded in a number of cases, and the shoemakers have at least as much claim to a reduction in their long hours of work as artizans in any other trade. The spokesmen of the craft evinced their determination not to resort to any form of coercion, but the shoemakers will be well nigh to meet the men as far as they can afford to do so without placing the trade of Northampton at a disadvantage. We think, however, the men will do wisely if they content themselves with making good their specific claim, instead of joining in the far larger movement which Mr. Odger advocated on the following night. Mr. Odger, as far as we could understand, wishes the shoemakers to form a general coalition of trades throughout the country, with the view of securing throughout the kingdom a rise in wages for all branches, not only of the shoemaking trade, but of labour in general. Now we quite admit that under certain conditions a trades' union is a necessary, and, from the class interests of workmen; but as long as working men can get what they want without surrendering their individual liberty of action to the dictates of a union, the latter they will do well to fight their own battles, and allow each trade to regulate its own wages. The proverb about a cobbler sticking to his last is especially appropriate to the present instance.

The ratepayers of Kettering have done wisely and well in deciding to adopt the Local Government Act, 1871. The decision, not necessarily involve censure on the various committees between whom the government of the town has been divided in the past. It merely recognizes the fact that a system of divided authority, a government of petty committees, must inevitably result in inefficiency, the work done being not at all commensurate with the amount of money expended, if there be not absolute mismanagement. On the face of it, it is absurd, such a system is but a very clumsy method of carrying out the important work of local government. The somewhat striking change of opinion on the part of the ratepayers, shown by the fact that three years ago a motion to adopt the Local Act was negatived by a majority of more than two to one, is very strong evidence that the present system is wholly unsatisfactory. And it is not only desirable, it is important, that the local government of a town of the size and importance of Kettering should be vested in a single representative body, elected by the ratepayers, to whom the members of such a Board would be responsible for the proper discharge of their duties. Nor should the public be tender when the pocket is touched, and the principal objection urged against the adoption of the Act was that of an increased rate. But higher than this momentary consideration is the vital question of the public health; and even should the adoption of the Act involve a slight increase in the rate, no such obstacle ought to be placed in the way of a procedure which is best calculated to provide satisfactory and efficient means of preserving the conditions most favourable to the maintenance of the public health. However, it was confidently stated at Tuesday night's meeting, by gentlemen who ought to know, that the amount of expenditure under a Local Board would be little, if any, more than under the present authority. So that, as far as can be seen, there is no ground for apprehension on that score. The Local Board, if they do their duty, will find the adoption of the Act,